

Candidates for Board Representative from Latin America (In alphabetical order)



Claudia Borensztejn (Argentine Psychoanalytic Association)

Since July 2021 I have had the honour of being part of the IPA Board as a Latin American Representative. During this time, I have shared an interest with colleagues from the three existing regions in understanding the various ways of thinking and practising psychoanalysis in different places. In the near future, we will have colleagues from the fourth region of Asia Pacific with full voting rights among us, although a dear colleague from that region already has a voice within the Board. Psychoanalysis is expanding and it is our mission to ensure that its core elements are preserved, those which make up the essence of our discipline within the Freudian tradition, as well as the inclusion of cultural, social, and conceptual elements of the theories that have enriched it.

The work is demanding and very satisfying to the extent that we open ourselves to accept the traditions of each region as well as the different ways of understanding what psychoanalysis is. Among the numerous tasks that make up our function of representation as the link between the members and the policies carried out by the IPA, one of the most important ones is that concerned with the future of psychoanalytic training. In 2019, the then President of the IPA, Virginia Ungar, appointed a Task Force to study psychoanalytic training, which already had forms of remote connection in distant regions or in special circumstances. What was called remote training had already been included in the IPA Procedural Code along with condensed and shuttle analysis for many years. When the pandemic began, the IPA authorised the continuation of remote training so that societies could carry on with their training programmes. The first Task Force produced a report at the end of 2021, which was published on the IPA website. The feedback from societies, groups and individual members was also published on the IPA webpage, with criticism, support, and requests for further research on this important topic. The IPA Board led by Harriet Wolfe saw the need to continue with the task and appointed a new group with representatives from each region as well as members of the previous Task Force. I belong to this group called the "Task Force on Psychoanalytic Training in Contemporary Times" (TF2), whose mission is to achieve a better understanding of the differences and similarities between "in-the-room" analysis and teleanalysis, which was the new name proposed by Task Force 1 to replace remote analysis. Its mission is to delve into clinical and conceptual levels and present a new proposal for discussion by the end of 2023. It is my wish to continue with this important task, which is why I aspire to be re-elected as a Representative for a second term, and I would be grateful if you could give me your vote of confidence.

Claudia Lucia Borensztejn MD. President of the Argentine Psychoanalytic Association (2017-2020). Former director of the APA Journal of Psychoanalysis. Editor of the Dictionary of Argentine Psychoanalysis. Member of the publishing group of the APA Psychoanalysis Thesaurus for indexing documents for libraries. Co-chair for Latin America of the IPA International Congress Boston 2015. Member of the Latin American committee of the IPA Encyclopedic Dictionary. Member of the IJP Board for Latin America. IJP reviewer, 2014-date. Latin American representative to the IPA Board, 2021/2023.

Candidates for Board Representative from Latin America (In alphabetical order)



Daniel Delouya (Brazilian Psychoanalytical Society of São Paulo)

I am Daniel Delouya, a full member of Brazilian Psychoanalytical Society of São Paulo (SBPSP), where I am also a training analyst. My training began in 1999, becoming an IPA member in 2004.

Long before I became an associate member of SBPSP, I was already engaged in scientific research, as well as in education among other activities within other psychoanalytic institutions: as a member of the editorial board of *Percurso*, as a chief editor of *Psyche Journal*, as a fellow researcher in psychoanalytic field, as a lecturer of graduate studies, as well as a director of psychoanalytic Center of São Marcos University (2002-2007). As soon as I became a full member of SBPSP (2007), I initiated my activities of teaching, and allowed to supervise and analyze candidates, since 2012, when I became a training analyst. I also published, among papers, and book chapters, six books on psychoanalysis.

I was elected a member of the education committee (since 2009), and also a member of Cultural and Community committee (2010-14) of SBPSP.

I have been very much involved in the Brazilian Psychoanalytic Federation, FEBRAPSI, where I occupied successively the following positions: Scientific Secretary (2011-3), Scientific Director (2013-5) and President (2015-17). I joined the IPA History Committee since 2018, the IPA Cultural Committee (2019-21) and also as an alternate coordinator of Educational Committee of Latin America Psychanalytic Federation (2020).

Over time, I discovered that my long trajectory within the psychoanalytic community intended detecting which areas could be explored by the psychoanalytic method. It concerned not only the deepening of clinical practice and all its related technical and theoretical aspects, but also the implication of the analyst in the various fields of culture and community, in its social and political dimensions. In my management as president of FEBRAPSI we established the Community department and together with the Portuguese Psychoanalytic Society we instituted the biannual Psychoanalytical Congresso of Portuguese Language, encompassing all Portuguese colonial islands and territories.

I think that in the contribution to the IPA Board, everlasting questions concerning analytic training, require its representative to have a more comprehensive scientific culture of the schools and currents of psychoanalysis in order to situate his position and dialogue with the other representatives of other societies and regions of the world. In addition, the IPA should, following the founder of psychoanalysis, be involved and position itself, based on the psychoanalytical method, on the contemporary issues and dilemmas of the field of art and knowledge, but especially those related to the community, and its current developments. These are related to political issues such world's climatic and health conditions, national and race conflicts, wars, migrations, social and race discrimination, abusive political regimes, among other topics that concern new forms of existence and self expression in culture (gender identities, family frames, work, etc).

The scientific exchange of psychoanalytic practice and the broader exercise of psychoanalysis in relation to culture and contemporaneity may reinforce, along with IPA, the growth of psychoanalysis and its full exercise and responsibility in relation to civilization.

Brazilian Psychoanalytical Society of São Paulo
Education Committee 2009-2022
Cultural Committee 2010-2014
Institute Secretary of program studies 2013-2017

Brazilian Psychoanalytic Federation
Scientific Secretary 2011-2013
Scientific Director 2013-2015
President 2015-2017

Latin America Psychoanalytic Federation
Education Committee, alternate coordinator 2020-

International Psychoanalytical Association
History Committee 2018-
Cultural Committee 2019-2021

Candidates for Board Representative from Latin America (In alphabetical order)



Magdalena Filgueira Emeric (Uruguayan Psychoanalytic Association)

I am a member of the International Psychoanalytical Association because of the seriousness of its training and its operation in a plurality of lines, within referential frameworks in the theory and practice of psychoanalysis. I value its willingness to form working groups across disciplines, institutions, and regions, as well as its rich history. As a professor at Universidad de la República, I cherish dialogue with young university students who are interested in psychoanalysis and who are starting a psychoanalytic career.

The complex horizon of our time calls me to take the tools the IPA has forged, and to renew them through the exchange within the Board of Representatives.

There are great challenges given the existing inequality in so many social aspects such as human rights, gender policies, as well as economic and cultural problems, which are worrying in Latin America. The IPA has created extensive and intense long-term projects. I participated in a fruitful one called 'IPA in the Community', which, in addition to fulfilling its specific mission, was able to respond quickly to the distressing situation of the COVID pandemic by addressing childhood and adolescence issues in educational institutions. We know that the pandemic has deepened inequalities, and therefore we must continue thinking more deeply about the role of psychoanalysis in the community. The challenge is to be able to learn from adversity and to create new devices, like the analysis that transmutes the anguish of the demand in transference.

I trust in the psychoanalytic exchange in Latin America with its languages –Portuguese and Spanish– as well as with European analysts, prioritising writing and publication processes. This is an area and theme to continue exploring and producing.

I have been able to get closer to societies and to understand their singularities, as well as their shared aspects, by coordinating 'FEPAL Building for 40 years', which is a project that celebrates their federative condition. Due to the above, I would feel honoured to be able to represent the thriving richness of our region on the international stage of the IPA. The growth possibilities of the IPA and FEPAL lie in joint programmes, such as the Latin American Institute of Psychoanalysis (ILaP), which I will support by promoting the creation of new groups.

Positions held in:

APU

Member of two Boards of Directors from 2012 to 2014 and from 2018 to 2020. Director of the Uruguayan Magazine (RUP) from 2012 to 2014 and from 2018 to 2020.

Director of the Uruguayan Library of Psychoanalysis. Volumes 11 and 12. Seminars by Maud and Octave Mannoni at APU and the Psychic Life of the Baby. Victor Guerra. Founder of the APU Historical Document Archive. 2020.

Training functions. Full Training Analyst. Supervisor. Founder of the GRAFO Magazine: Analysts in Training in 2000.

FEPAL

Board of Directors from 2020 to 2022. Director of Publications from 2020 to 2022. Contributor of Caliban. RLP since its foundation in 2012.

Coordinator of the project FEPAL Building for 40 years.

IPA

Member of 'IPA in the Community: Education Committee' 2017-2021. Extension to 'IPA in the World'. Organising Committee of the 'Psychoanalysis beyond the couch' Congress. 2020-2021. Training Analyst at ILaP.

URUGUAY PSYCHOANALYTIC ASSOCIATION. APU

Training functions. Full Training Analyst. Supervisor.

Member of the Board of Directors: 2012 to 2014 /2018 to 2020.

Director of the Uruguayan Magazine (RUP) 2012 - 2014 /2018 - 2020.

Founder of the APU Historical Document Archive. 2020.

PSYCHOANALYTIC FEDERATION OF LATIN AMERICA. FEPAL

Board of Directors from 2020 to 2022. Director of Publications

Project Coordinator FEPAL Building for 40 years.

INTERNATIONAL PSYCHOANALYTICAL ASSOCIATION. IPA

"IPA in the Community: Education Committee". 2017-2021.

Organisation of the 'Psychoanalysis beyond the couch' Congress.

Candidates for Board Representative from Latin America (In alphabetical order)



Mariano Horenstein (Cordoba Psychoanalytic Society)

I belong to the IPA because of its plurality, its strength in training analysts, and its legendary history. We face unprecedented challenges: an unequal and unsettled world, rapid technological changes, and a depreciation in the value of truth. We therefore need political intelligence to face the difficulties and take advantage of the opportunities the future offers us.

As an analyst, I have given lectures in American, European and Asian institutions; I have edited two Journals; I have published four books, and received awards such as the FEPAL, Lucian Freud, Ángel Garma, and the E. Hayman Award for the Study of the Holocaust and Genocide. I also hold a master's degree in Health Systems Administration, which is useful for management functions.

I belong to a small society (that is in debt to the great psychoanalytic tradition of Río de la Plata's region) which is represented for the first time on the Board. But I have travelled through Latin America and I know the particularities of the psychoanalytic movement in the region, and I intend to represent the needs of the small and also the power of our continent, our noble tradition, and what we have the capacity to invent.

Our mestizo region is pregnant with future: we are relatively young, creative, and continue to grow. Spanish and Portuguese contaminate in a fertile way the English language, and just as the presence of Brazil enriches Spanish speaking Psychoanalysis, the presence of Latin America strengthens international psychoanalysis.

Psychoanalysis is declined in the plural. Our strength lies in diversity: of theories, training models, and styles. As psychoanalysis is a solitary profession, we need institutions that deal with common interests and tasks that no one can do alone.

But our institutions cannot be mere control organisms but rather collective shelters, sensitive to the difficulties of their members; they must look inward but also outward, promoting transfers towards psychoanalysis, which are always at risk.

Two years ago, I was honoured with my election as a Latin American Representative on the Board. During this time, I have been able to learn about the complex structure of the IPA and verify both the institutional challenges we face and the importance of active participation. It is not enough to occupy places, we must honour them with ideas and with a powerful voice that reflects the contradictions, needs, and values of our region.

I have committed myself to this task and will continue to do so with the benefit of my acquired experience, if, once again, I have the support of the Latin American community.

The practice of psychoanalysis usually implies a certain tension with the institutions. I decided to turn that conflict into participation, taking responsibility to speak on behalf of others. I hope to represent even those whose voice is heard in silence, those who stay away when the time for voting comes.

But I must say that in these two years we have also faced conflicts, and if we managed to preserve a fair regional representation, attentive to our particular needs, it was because Latin America is the region that participates the most in elections, which strengthens the voice of each representative.

I would like to encourage each member to make themselves be heard and to vote, as this is the only guarantee that our regional space in the administration of the IPA is maintained and can enrich, from our Latin American singularity, the institution to which we belong.

IPA

IPA Board Member (2021-23); Member, then Chair of the "Think Tank on the Future of Psychoanalysis and the IPA" (2017-2020); Coordinator of Interviews' project with personalities of the arts, science, and culture influenced by psychoanalysis (2018-2020); Member of the international research group "Geographies of psychoanalysis".

FEPAL

Editor-in-Chief of Calibán - Latin American Journal of Psychoanalysis (2011-2017).

APC

Full Training Analyst; Secretary, then Director of the Institute of Psychoanalysis (2011-2013; 2020-2021); Director of Docta- Journal of Psychoanalysis (2003-2010).

Candidates for Board Representative from Latin America (In alphabetical order)



Cecilia Martínez (Peru Psychoanalytic Society)

I am a Peruvian psychoanalyst who, when very young, participated in the founding process of my society, the Peruvian Society of Psychoanalysis. I am currently the link in Peru for PACE Latin America of the IPA Community and Culture Committee. I have also been a teacher at the institute of my society and have actively participated in crisis intervention initiatives during the pandemic.

My reflection as a psychoanalyst has been inspired by a clinic that attends to both the individual and collective dimensions. In recent years, I have been developing this line of work through the ZUNO working group, which provides care to professional teams linked to public institutions. I have also been able to use the rich and complex Peruvian experience to make exchanges with other colleagues from Latin America, finding points of reflection and interest that have enriched my practice. From this experience, I am running to be elected as a Latin American Representative on the IPA Board.

I am motivated by the prospect of working on the challenges that new realities pose for psychoanalysis. Currently, the world faces crises, conflicts, and wars. Latin America, being a region where this is an endemic problem that has been made more complex by the prevailing social inequality, has generated multiple efforts for action and reflection over time, which should contribute to the global agenda of the IPA.

Positions held:

IPA (2022-2025): Link in Peru for PACE Latin America of the IPA Community and Culture Committee.

SPP (2020-2022): Member of the Emotional Support Line team during the pandemic.

Candidates for Board Representative from Latin America (In alphabetical order)



Sergio Eduardo Nick (Brazilian Psychoanalytical Society of Rio de Janeiro)

I am Sergio Nick, full member of Brazilian Psychoanalytical Society of Rio de Janeiro (SBPRJ/Rio2), where I occupied several teaching and institutional positions. I'm a certified IPA Child and Adolescent Psychoanalyst.

After serving IPA in several positions, I developed a lot of experience regarding the Board - a forum where important decisions regarding Psychoanalysis are discussed and taken, concerning Training, Funds Allocation, Committees, Congresses, Communications, etc. To present and hold our interests would be one of my duties, as well as reporting back to members about current matters. The link function between societies-IPA is one of the best ways to communicate with the Board about matters that members and societies need to address.

Latin American members, representing around 30% of overall IPA membership, have a unique and particular view regarding Psychoanalysis. It contains a high scientific level and needs to be present at all scientific forums. We need to make BiViPsi and other scientific search engines available worldwide and enhance our capacity to have our papers and journals translated to other languages. We have seen evidence that Portuguese translation at IPA congresses optimized participation of Brazilian members.

ILAP, our regional institute, has played an important role in disseminating IPA psychoanalysis in our region and further. Strengthening it is one of the main ways to spread our training throughout the LA region where no IPA societies are present.

FEPAL has made several partnerships with IPA, like teaming up in congresses, institutional meetings, Psychoanalysis Today, etc. FEPAL and IPA should cultivate an enriching exchange as scientific and institutional partners. CAPSA, the program idealized by Claudio Eizirik to develop scientific interchange between the IPA regions, should be continuously supported.

IPA Psychoanalysis, with its international spirit, shall be well integrated to advance its strength, diversity, and cohesion. We need to respect differences, both cultural and theoretical. Additionally, it must be in tune with all the transformations of the 21st century, especially with new technologies and the new challenges it brings to our field. This impacts psychoanalytic training, for instance when, due to the pandemic, Virginia Ungar and I worked to structure the transition to online settings.

In a scenario of pandemic, war, climate changes, and several challenges such as racism and inequalities, psychoanalysis is of great relevance as an approach to face so many sufferings to people. Thus, we need to bring psychoanalysis to focus, promote our work, and build more access to universities and health centers.

Psychoanalysis has proven to be efficient in several community's scenarios. The 'IPA in the Communities' area can help to develop it by promoting those who work at communities, to help them share experiences with one another, and to make the best outreach programs to promote it. It encompasses the work with mothers and babies, children, adolescents, and adults that concur to hospitals, youth centers, schools, the law field, humanitarian organizations, etc. To my understanding, it is one of the best ways to make people comprehend firsthand how we approach human issues, both emotionally and psychically.

Sergio Nick: IPA: Vice-President, 2017/2021. Committees: Chair, Boston IPAC Programme; Member, Congress Working Group Mexico IPAC; Chair, IPA's Public Information, 2005/2013; Chair, Rio de Janeiro IPAC - Local Arrangements. FEPAL: Director, Child/Adolescent Department, 2012/2014; Rio II Delegate, 2005/2006. FEBRAPS: Superintendent, 2009/2011; First Secretary, 2007/2009; Secretary, Publications/Communications Department, 2005/2007. SBPRJ: Vice-President, 2013/2014; FEBRAPS's Delegate - 2013/2014; Professional Council Advisor, 2009/2010; Professional Council Director, 2007/2009; First Secretary, 2003/2004; Second Secretary, 1998/1999; Second Treasurer, 1996/1997; Secretary, Publications and Communication Department, 1992/1993. ABC: Founder/1stPresident, 1989/1990.

Candidates for Board Representative from Latin America (In alphabetical order)



Maria Cecilia Pereira da Silva (Brazilian Society of Psychoanalysis of São Paulo)

My name is Maria Cecilia Pereira da Silva. I am a certified IPA Child and Adolescent Analyst, a Full member and Training analyst at the Brazilian Society of Psychoanalysis of São Paulo (SBPSP), where I currently coordinate clinical and theoretical seminars.

I have always been involved in research. I completed a Master's degree, a Doctorate and a Post-Doctorate within the psychoanalytic field at the *Pontifícia Universidade Católica of São Paulo* (PUCSP). I have published papers in national and international peer-reviewed journals as well as books and book chapters. I am also a reviewer for the Brazilian Journal of Psychoanalysis and for the International Journal of Psychoanalysis. Since 1990, I have been involved in psychoanalytic institutional activities, being the President of the Brazilian Candidates Association and the Vice-President of the International Psychoanalytical Studies Organization for Latin America.

Under my initiative, clinical projects that are working models in conducting Psychoanalysis beyond private practice borders were created, such as the "0 to 3 Clinic" and the "Transcultural Clinic" which shelters families of refugees and immigrants arriving in Brazil.

I currently collaborate as assistant coordinator of the Brazilian Comparative Clinical Methods Working Party, jointly with Elizabeth Rocha Barros and José Calich.

Since 2019, I have been participating in the IPA Education and Community Committee as well as in the IPA PACE Committee.

Throughout the years I have participated in many Febrapsi, Fepal and IPA conferences where I was honored to receive some awards.

Some proposals for the IPA Board

➤ **To expand studies on remote work**

The pandemic has encouraged reflection over the changes in the society and within Psychoanalytic practice. The psychic pain due to the lockdown has caused after effects which are still not manageable. The possibility of working online will demand reflections over this model especially regarding the training of prospective analysts.

➤ **To expand psychoanalytic studies to contemplate vulnerable populations**

My suggestion is to expand psychoanalytic studies to contemplate populations that are vulnerable and/or submitted to inequalities (e.g.: native peoples, Latin American populations from several ethnicities, LGBTQIA+) by appreciating their diverse representations and ethically respecting their way of being without any prejudice whatsoever.

➤ **To expand inter-regional dialogue**

During the pandemic, the IPA, through *webinars* and the virtual 52nd International Psychoanalysis Congress (Vancouver, 2021), has facilitated the inter-regional dialogue and

contact with the psychoanalytic thought in different parts of the world. Such initiative should be continued.

➤ **To consolidate inter-regional integration**

To act as a liaison for countries, by facilitating a greater inter-regional integration for the development of Latin American Psychoanalysis and its insertion in the international scientific community.

➤ **To strengthen psychoanalytic identity**

Our goal is to promote, stimulate, support and exchange research and study of changes in contemporary Psychoanalysis theory, method, technique and clinical work in order to strengthen psychoanalytic identity.

We should encourage the discussion of different ideas, demands and needs conveyed through Latin American societies, groups and teams and by the Latin American Psychoanalysis Institute – IlaP.

IPA

- 2022-present Member of IPA PACE
- 2021-present Reviewer - International Journal of Psychoanalysis
- 2019-present Research Training Program IPA Fellow
- 2018-present Member of IPA Education Committee

Fepal

- 2016-2018 Fepal Fiscal Commission Full Member
- 2017-2018 ILaP Psychoanalysts Supervisor
- 2012-2014 Substitute to Sergio Nick, Fepal Children and Adolescents Coordinator

Febrapsi

- 2017-present Assistant coordinator at the CCM in Brazil group with Elizabeth Lima da Rocha Barros and José Carlos Calich
- 2006-present GPPA group member - PRISMA research
- 2006-present Reviewer - Brazilian Journal of Psychoanalysis (RBP)

Candidates for Board Representative from Latin America (In alphabetical order)



Olga Santa María, PhD (Mexican Psychoanalytic Association)

Dear colleagues and friends, I am Olga Santa Maria, and I am pleased to greet you today as a candidate for the IPA Board as a Latin American Representative 2023-2025. I belong to the Mexican Psychoanalytic Association, and I live and work in Mexico City. I was born in Bogota, Colombia, where I lived during my first twenty years. I am very excited to share with you both my proposal and my background in the psychoanalytic world. For this IPA region, I seek to achieve a voice that represents us as a community on the international psychoanalytic stage.

We have all lived through a long pandemic together, which has left us with many losses and uncertainties but also, paradoxically, led us to reflect and be creative. I mention this because I had the honour of being the APM (Mexican Psychoanalytic Association) President from 2020 to 2022, among many other institutional positions I have held and continue to hold. These were two years of sharing the passion for our psychoanalytic work remotely with outstanding psychoanalysts from different regions. Borders were eliminated in our virtual scientific activities, which resulted in an enriching exchange without precedent. My idea is **to continue supporting these cross-border modalities that strengthen our region within the IPA.**

As an IRED collaborator, in one of its entries, I have had the opportunity to study and understand the historical development of psychoanalytic concepts in different countries and their current implications. **The spreading of the encyclopaedic dictionary**, both for educational and consultation purposes, is a task that should continue to be implemented in our institutes. The same applies to the Calibán magazine.

My experience as a FEPAL delegate for children and adolescents in the last 3 years has reinforced my conviction that **studying and doing inter-societal teamwork for babies, children, and adolescents is very important.** Using the theme of the next IPA Congress in Cartagena, Colombia, we could emphasise that adolescence is in the line of fire. We should also take into account that Latin America is the IPA region with the largest number of adolescents, and they need our support in both our consulting rooms and the community.

The northern group, of which I am an active participant, has been another generous source of strength and deep psychoanalytic exchanges that increasingly promote the pleasure of dialogue and getting to know other colleagues, as well as **supporting the transmission of psychoanalysis.** Research is another field that I am interested in supporting as a Representative, remembering that the first mind researcher was Sigmund Freud himself. This involves COWAP and the current issues of **gender, migration, violence, the effects of the pandemic, and even climate change, among many other issues.**

I am confident that this is a good time for me to face the commitment required to be on the IPA Board as a Latin American Representative, based on my experience, track record and disposition. Our multiple Latin American voices will be heard in all regions in an inclusive way. Thanks for your vote!

- APM Training and Child and Adolescents lifetime analyst.
- IPA Member
- Fepal Member
- Bachelor's Degree in Clinical Psychology, Anáhuac University
- Master's Degree in Clinical Psychology, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México UNAM.
- Ph.D in Clinical Psychology UNAM
- Mexican Psychoanalytic Association APM President 2020-2022.
- APM Treasurer 2022-2024
- Teacher at the APM Institute
- IRED Collaborator: Psicología del Yo
- Delegate of the FEPAL Commission for Children and Adolescents
- Publications, participation in Congresses and Webinars

Candidates for Board Representative from Latin America (In alphabetical order)



Mónica Vorchheimer (Buenos Aires Psychoanalytic Association)

I am honoured to have been nominated as a candidate for the Board, representing my Latin American colleagues in the elections.

The IPA is an association of members whose will is expressed in the Board through regional representatives who act as their spokespersons. Being part of the IPA as a member has a development potential for Psychoanalysis and psychoanalysts which is still insufficiently recognised and leveraged.

Some of my proposals are as follows:

- To maintain a permanent dialogue with Latin American societies and the membership, and, as a liaison, to convey concerns and problems of the region and the Board.
- To make the diversity, richness, and topicality of Latin American psychoanalysis visible in contemporary, regional, and global debates.
- To support a democratic strengthening of the IPA: democracy is representativeness of majorities and minorities, of the common and diverse. To defend Latin American interests means safeguarding equitable representation in decision-making and committees.
- An IPA for all means renewing and expanding membership participation by including inexperienced members in different committees, so that they can become familiar with the task.
- As Co-chair of the IPA Committee on Families and Couples (COFAP) I had the opportunity to create a network with representatives from Latin American societies that resulted in multiplicative effects.
- To support the International New Groups Committee (ING), of which I am Co-Chair, by stimulating new psychoanalytic groups in Latin America while respecting their plurality and local idiosyncrasy at social, economic, and political levels. ILAP deserves regional and international support.
- These times of global unrest demand wider policies committed to these realities.
- I am interested in promoting inclusive and participatory congresses, with new voices, where language is not an obstacle, enabling contemporary theoretical and clinical debates, and a dialogue with other fields of knowledge and culture.

- Latin America should receive more recognition for its current psychoanalytic production, and not only for its 'classics'; promoting translations is promoting a psychoanalysis that is multilingual and in motion.

- International ties can be fostered through interregional peer-to-peer clinical and theoretical work groups by making further use of technology.

- Strengthening the transference of analysts in training with Psychoanalysis and a sense of belonging to the international community is essential in times when ties with institutions are easily loosened and diluted. This can be achieved by including them in committees.

- It is necessary that the heterogeneity of the economies of our region be known so that it can be considered in the IPA's financial debates and decisions.

-The pandemic, which has not yet come to an end, has sparked revitalising discussions about training and remote analysis; they must be deepened, taking into account the characteristic diversity of a large and complex organisation like ours.

This will be an important challenge and I am enthusiastic, committed, and dedicated to facing it.

IPA: International New Groups Committee (ING) Co-chair for Latin America, member of ASC+ (New Groups Committee since 2021).

Family and Couple Committee (COFAP) Member, later LA Co-chair, (2011-2021), current consultant.

APdeBA: Full Training Analyst. Board Secretary (2007-2010). Responsible for Foreign Visits (2012-2019). Symposium Director (2013-2015). Reviewer for online magazines "Psicoanálisis" and "Controversias". Director of Residency Course Admissions, Co-coordinator of Family and Couple Clinical Team, Teacher. Member of International Relations Group (2007-2020).

FEPAL: Training and Transmission Committee (2017-2019); Scientific Committee (2011-2012).